App F IX 4

Previous References 1941. 4
(Nil)

File No 2-3-46
Serial Nos 95-97 11

Later References ~~Rev-Dep No 353/57~~
C M Dep No 182/57
CM 1-54-92, 93, 98

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos four

Part B for maps

SUBJECT

Acquisition of a portion of the property
Nobo Subedar Chattram Road in 20 on
Bangalore city for widening the road

D/ 16012-15 D/ 16 star path
The ~~and~~ comm² B'lore city.
Commr for Land Reco
Comptroller to four
Compiler mis

Sanction and notifis Re ——

WL 23-116 8681
-117

From the Municipal Commissioner
No L1 C 1162 / 46-47 & 1 & 47

Request sanction to the acquisition of property no 60, Subedar Chattarjee Road II Division Bangalore city for widening the footpath

Only ~~one~~ one copy for each of the two ~~Kan~~ ~~notifications in English~~ notifications in English is sent instead of the draft calling for one additional copy each in ~~English~~ Kannada

Three - Plate
2 Kannada
1 English

JM
21/4

TYPING SECTION
22 APR 1947

To The Municipal Commissioner
14853-d 25-4-47
Calls for the above

From the Municipal Commissioner
C 1162 d 2-5-47

Finds charge notifications under section 17 of the L.A. Act - for the acquisition of a footpath of

Property No. 60 - Subedar Chathram Road -
Bangalore - for widening the foot path

3) It is seen from the sketch that a license no 708 dated 16-12-46, was granted by the Municipality to one Mr Krishna Das - Laxmi Film company - Bangalore to put up a building on Property No. 60 in Subedar Chathram Road and that the construction is under progress

4) The cost of acquisition is estimated at Rs 905/- as detailed below —

	Rs
Land area - 137.6 - at Rs 20 per Sq yard —	275-2-7
Building area —	500
Compound wall —	22
Sheeting allowance —	15/
	Rs 905/-

8681/1 & 9173

5) It is not clear why the City Municipal Council granted this bit of land to Lala Radha Das and even granted him a license for putting up a building. This is a clear projection — with the open road in the — there is no doubt that it does close under deposit of the — it is strange that such a patent error has been committed against the interests of the City —

6) It is now proposed to acquire this bit of land for widening the footpath

~~The Municipality would have power to do so~~

7) Subject with above charges, for orders

8) May be approved

The unnecessary cost to the City could have been avoided if the Municipal Council had taken the precaution of getting the encroachment removed before the building was completed.

9) The recommendation may be sanctioned

10) It is definite duty of the to have sold this land to Krishnadas and to have issued a license to him to put up a structure thereon. The explanation of those who are responsible

for this mistake which is going to cost about Rs. 9000/- to the Municipality may be obtained as to why they should not be surcharged.



Minister for Edn. & P. H.

16/5/47



To

The Commissioner Mysore Gazette
" Municipal Town Bangalore City
" Town Officer
Channapatna

Memo No. 16012-15 dated 16-5-47

Sanctioning the extension of the above Property under Section 17 of the Act

(4) Submit with a draft letter for approval

17/5

17/5/47

17/5/47

9173

To

The Municipal Commr :

L 16 31 2 dt 22-5-47

calls for explanation of those responsible for the loss to Municipality

(Sample) from the Municipal Commr, Bangalore city

L1-C-116 2 dt 24-7-47

Fds the detailed report received from Mr R Madhavan, the then Municipal Engineer regarding the licence granted to the owner of property No 60 on the Subedar Chatram Road Bangalore city, ~~Licensed for erection~~ for putting up a building and adds that the party has filed a suit in the Court of civil the Municipality and that the Municipal Advocate has been instructed to take steps for vacating the temporary injunction order.

It The history of the case has been explained at paras 3 to 10 of the notes Supra

11 — the his report dated 11-7-47 —

9173 & 579

Mr R Madhavan - the then Municipal Engineer, (who granted license to the owner of the property in question for the construction of a structure) has stated that he granted the license in accordance with the Municipal Act and Building Byelaws and consistently with the resolutions of the City Improvement Committee dated 21-12-45 obtained from the party by the Municipal Commissioner

15) It is stated that the party has filed a civil Suit in this behalf in the District court Bangalore, against the Municipality

16) The explanation is for perusal and orders

9/5 20) The Ld Counsel may note if any remarks on the above explanation may be called for ?

14/8/79

21) The explanation of the Municipal Engr is for perusal - It is for orders if any Remarks of the Ld Counsel also are necessary in this matter in the

211

light of information furnished by the Municipal Engr

Minister for Education & P H

20/8/47

9/9/47

9/9

25 SEP 1947

23) A draft letter calling for the remarks of the Municipal Commissioner — Barcelore city — on the report of the Municipal Engineer — is submitted, for order.

24) Returned

25 Issue

24/9

To The Municipal Commissioner Barcelore City
Calls for remarks

5795/2000
MC

From the Municipal Commr Bangalore city
C 1162 dated 11-10-47
states that he agrees with the views
of Mr R Madhavan, with the remark
that having consideration to the fact that the
proposed construction would have afforded a
clear projection with reference to the existing
buildings, he should have brought the
matter to the notice of the Municipal Comr
before granting license to Mr Krishna Das.
26 Submitted for perusal and orders
27) Refer paras 9 to 11 and 20 to 22 supra

28) As licensing Officer, the
Municipal Engineer should have
noticed the ugly projection and
the matter of the road as compared
with the other buildings in existence on
the ~~other~~ side of the road & on the
opposite side & should have taken
the orders of the Municipal Commissioner
as to whether he should give the license or not
This is an important road which

25/10/47

2080

extension of the existing
the of the of= and the-confluence we
come all the people with the
proposed laying of the Tramway as
a measure of postwar reconstruction. By
the reference to this post-war policy fresh
some loss is caused to the ratepayers, as the building is now
proposed to be carried out the demolitions
been sanctioned We point out that
any Engineer who goes along that road
is struck by this projection while it do
not appear to a technical member
the Municipal Engineer

5010/1911 -5:0 Refer para 10, 11, 21 description
newspaper for
Notting

21 In the circumstances of the case it is difficult to state that the responsibility entirely with the Municipal Engineer or any other particular individual. ~~For~~ Pursuing of this Question of personal responsibility any further appears to be undesirable

perhaps
may be dropped

Minister
C Mds & Mnz

20/11/47

30) We have seen your letter dated 11-10-47 [illegible] bearing reference to the subject. Then the proposed architectural would have appeared a clear projection with reference to the existing building. We should have brought the matter to your notice before framing the licence.

31) The Revenue Secretary also is of opinion that the Public Engineer is not entirely responsible. It is evident from the letter that the Engineer was responsible partly if not entirely. However, I take a lenient view and direct that no action should be taken against the Public Engineer. I hope these things will not occur in future. — Rahim
24/11/47

25/11/47
Minister

2080 — 5545

32) Submitted with a draft letter for appl

29/11

33) [illegible] 29/11/47

34) [illegible] 1/12/47

35) Issue 1/12/47

To

The Municipal Board
115-57 dt 5-12-47
Issues instructions accordingly

From the Municipal Commr Bangalore city
LI C 1162 dt 16-3-48
States that the civil suit brought by Mr P
Krishna Das against the Municipality praying
for temporary injunction, etc has not been
decided by the District Court Bangalore

No further action is required
5/4/48

36) No further action appears necessary,

37) For perusal.
The property is proposed to be
acquired
38) The receipt of further report
may be awaited

25/3/48

39) Please bring up 8/28/3

No L1 C.1162/46-47

Duplicate not sent
1 Resolution copy
1 Plan & 1 Valuation
Statement

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICES,

Bangalore dated 16th April 1947



FROM

SAFI DARASHAH, ESQ., B A
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

H N Pallegar, Esq , M A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore



Subject. Acquisition of a portion of the property No 60 Subedar Chatram Road, II Division, Bangalore City for widening the footpath

---

SIR,

With reference to the above, I have the honour to forward herewith the draft declaration statements both in English and Kannada under Section 17 Land Acquisition Act with regard to the acquisition of the said property for favour of arranging publication in the Mysore Gazette

2 The Municipal Council at their meeting held on 29-3-1947 have sanctioned the acquisition and a copy of the Council resolution is herein enclosed for reference

3 The estimated cost of acquisition amounting to Rs 9,055/- will be met out of Municipal funds under "D3" Compensation in the current year's budget

In this connection it may be stated that the owner of the property proposed for acquisition, is putting up the buildings abutting the foot path in Subedar Chatram Road, Bangalore City Hence this proposal has been taken up under Section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act

I request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained for the acquisition of the said property authorising the Special Land Acquisition Officer attached to this Municipality to take orders for the acquisition under Section 17 Land Acquisition Act.

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette may be requested to send 15 spare copies of Notifications to this office as usual.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Honorary President

hsr/-

Bangalore City Municipality

Proceedings of the meeting of the Council dated 29-3-47

No 6 Mr Singam Rangaswamappa to move -

"This council resolves that the margin land in front of No 60, Subedar Chatram Road, Bangalore City, sold to Mr P Kishnadas, be acquired immediately as it affects the alignment of the road"

Mr Singam Rangasamappa moved and Mr K N Naganna seconded it

Mr S Krishnappa moved an amendment that the words 'along with the property built upon it' be inserted between the words "Mr P Kirshnadas" and "be acquired" and this was accepted by the mover

Then the proposition as amended was put to vote and passed and it was further resolved that immediate action may be taken to acquire the property

Sd A J Khaleel,

Honorary President

" Copy "

Municipal Commissioner

Bsk

Mis. Eg - 46 - 47

L. 14855/W R
d. 25 Ex. 47

To the municipal commissr.
Bangalore city - municipality
through the President.

Sir

With ref to Your letter no
L.1.C 1162/46-47 d 16. 9. 1947
re acquisition of property no 60
Lalbagh Chatram Road II Dn
Bangalore for widening the footpath,
I am directed to state that
one copy each of the English
and one copy of the Kannada notifications
under Sections 4(1) & 17(1) of the Mysore
Land Acquisition Act is received & to request
you to send one more copy each of
the

-2

of the [redacted] indications
very early for necessary action

We enclose to you letter only
replacement are sent herewith for
necessary action & retransmission

Church
for
J. V. Pleasants
Rev Liq & Enf
191

Bangalore City Municipality

9173 17

L1.C 1162/46 City Municipal Offices,
Bangalore, 2nd May 1947

From

Sait Darashah, Esq , B A ,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

H N Palegar, Esq , M A , B L B.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Sub Acquisition of a portion of property No 60 Subedar Chattram Road, II Division, Bangalore City, for widening the foot-path

---

I have the honor to forward herewith two Drafts of English Notifications under Sections 4(1) and 17(1) of the Mysore Land Acquisition Act, as desired by you in your letter No L 14855/W R dated 25th April 1947

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

Bsk

DRAFT

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter
replied to

Date of issue 16/5/47

Signed by

Section III
File No 23-46
Serial No 96

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read - Correspondence ending with letter No C 1162 dated 2-5-47 from the ~~Deputy~~ Commissioner Bangalore City District recommending sanction to the acquisition of a portion of property No 60 - Subedar Chattram Road, ~~in~~ D. V. Street, Bangalore City ~~in the village of~~ Taluk required for widening the footpath

h. 16012-15 III 23-46-96

Order No Dated, Bangalore 16/5/47

The acquisition of the property recommended above is sanctioned, the Head Assistant Commissioner ~~in charge of the~~ Bangalore City ~~Sub Division~~ being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Act and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said property -

2 The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be forwarded to the Compiler Mysore Gazette, for publication

3 The cost of acquisition will be met out of Municipal Funds under D 3 Compensation in the current years Budget of the Bangalore City Municipality

In view of the urgency, sanction is accorded, under section 17 of the L A Act, to proceed with the acquisition

Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

P T O

To Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City ~~District~~
The ~~Deputy~~ Commissioner, with records

The Commissioner for Land Records Survey and Settlement, in Mysore

The Comptroller to Government
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication in Part III-I of the Gazette and supply of 25 copies of the Kannada Notifications to the ~~Sub Division Officer~~ of-

Municipal Comr. Bangalore City

A6

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.1162, dated the 2nd May 1947 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City recommending sanction to the acquisition of a portion of property No.60 Subedar Chattram Road, II Division, Bangalore City required for widening the footpath.

***

Order No. L 1601-15 ML 23-46-96

Dated, Bangalore, the 16th May 1947.

The acquisition of the property recommended above is sanctioned the Special Land Acquisition Officer, Bangalore City Municipality being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Act and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said property

2. The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be forwarded to the Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication

3. In view of the urgency, sanction is accorded under section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act to proceed with the acquisition

4. The cost of acquisition will be met out of Municipal Funds under D.3 Compensation in the current year's Budget of the Bangalore City Municipality.

for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City with records.
The Commissioner for Land Records Survey and Settlement in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III-1 of the Gazette and supply of 24 copies of the Kannada Notifications to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.

L.16016/III 23-46-97

4/16/47

No

Whereas it appears to the Government of His High
property situated in II Division, Ubodarchatram Road,
for widening the foot-path, notice to that effect is he
with the provisions of section 4(1) of the Land Acqui
ict No 1 or 1927 and the Government hereby authorise, t
Municipality, to exercise the powers conferred by secti
17 or the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, as amended by
the urgency of the case, the provisions of section 5-
land noted below

and under S

District	City	Division	Street	Name of khatedar or owner	Survey or Municipal No	Vacant site, house tiled terraced or mud roofed	Total extent in square yards or Ankanams	Extent n		
								length in feet	Bre adth in feet	Area in squar feet
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Bangalore	II Division	Subedar Chatram Road	Mr P Krishna Das	A portion of door no 60	1) Land area		$63\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{16\frac{1}{2}+22\frac{1}{2}}{2}$	123
						2) Burnt brick Shop building with burnt brick walls with R c c roof for the first flow with necessary doors & windows frames only & without plastering and flooring		$21\frac{1}{4}$	16	34
						3) Compound wall 3 feet average hight		15		

'Bangalore

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publi ti on in three successive issues of the Gazette

raja of Mysore that the undermentioned
ty, is needed for a public purpose to wit,
o all whom it may concern, in accordance
f 1894, as amended by the Land Acquisition
Land Acquisition Officer, Bangalore City
the Act. Under Sub-section (4) of Section
1927, the Government direct that in view of
shall not apply to the acquisition of the

...tpath Subedar
II Dn Bangalore city

PP B CITY—2 000

d,		Boundaries					
anka ams	Running feet	East	West	North	South	Remarks
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
-	-	Subedar Chatram Road & Footpath	His own Remaining property	Municipal Land, Footpath & Kondappa's Choultry	Footpath & Gate of Alum Swamy Pai's Choultry	
	15					

15-0

Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

for Revenue Secretary

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. I of 19[illegible]7, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja Sq. yards, be the same a little more or less is needed for in Subedar Chatram Road, Bangalore City and under section Officer, Bangalore City Municipality is appointed to per the act and directed to take orders for the acquisition 17 of the Act, the Government further direct, that the po expiry of fifteen days from the date of publication of a plan of the land is kept in the Office of the Special inspected at any time during office hours.

in writing

A

District	City	Division	Street	Name of khatedar or owner	Survey or Municipal No	Vacant site, house tiled, terraced or mud roofed	Total extent in square yards or Ankanams	Ext length in feet	Ext Breadth in feet	A sq f
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8	9	10	
	Bangalore	Bangalore II Division	Subedar Chatram Road.	Mr P. Krishna Das	A'pailam Survey No. 20	1) Land area 2) Burnt brick Shop building with R.C.C roof for the I floor & I floor without Roof with necessary doors & windows frames only & without plastering & flooring. 3) Compound wall 3 feet average height	21 1/4 15	63 1/2 16	16 1/2 + 22 / 2	

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in three successive issues of the Gazette.

...nded by the Land Acquisition Amendment Act ...ysore, declare that the land measuring 137 ... ...blic purpose, to wit for widening the foot-path ... 7 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition ... the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under ... ...he said land. Under Sub-section (1) of Section ...tion of the said land may be taken on the ... notice mentioned in Section 9 (1) of the Act. ...equisition Officer, Bangalore City and may be

...pal Commissioner

VPP B City—206

required			Boundaries				
Area in square yards	Ankanams	Running feet	East	West	North	South	Remarks
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		15	Subedar Chatram Road (S) Footpath	Area Remaining Unacquired	Municipal Council property & Footpath & Kempegowda's Chowltry	Footpath & gate to Municipal Chowltry	

Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipal

for Revenue Secretary

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Secretariat.

To. The Municipal Commr Bangalore City through the President)

Subj - Acquisition of a portion of the property No 60 - Sutrecker Chatham Road - II Division - Bangalore City for widening the footpath

Sir,

With reference to your No A 16012-15/Ml 23-46-5 dated 16-5-47, I am directed to point out that this is a case of deliberate demolition of duty to have sold the above marginal land to Mr P Krishna Das and to have issued a license to him to put up a structure thereon which is a clear projection to the proposed road demolition as shown in the plan attached to the said letter dated 22nd March 1947 and I am therefore asked to request that the explanation of those who

are responsible for this
mistake which is going to
cost about Rs 9000/- to the
Municipality so as to why
they should not be surcharged
may be obtained and submitted
to me very early.

Yours in

14.9.95

Revenue Secretary

Bangalore City Municipality

No L1 C 1162/46
Encl one
FROM

MUNICIPAL OFFICES
Bangalore 24th July 1947

SAFI DARASHAH, Esq, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

Syed Abdul Aleem, Esq , B.A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject Acquisition of a portion of the property No 60, Subedarchatram Road, II Division, Bangalore City for widening the footpath

---

With reference to your letter No L 16312 dated 22nd May 1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the detailed report No 122/47 dated 11-7-1947 received from Mr R Madhavan, the then Municipal Executive Engineer and now the Engineer Officer, City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore who granted license to the owner of the property in question for the construction of a structure for favour of reference

2 In this connection it is to be stated that the owner of the property Mr P Krishna Das has filed a Civil suit in the District Court, Bangalore against this Municipality praying for temporary injunction to restrain the defendant from taking possession of the property proposed for acquisition and the Court has ordered injunction in O S No 79/46-47 Necessary instructions have been issued to the Municipal Advocate to take steps for vacating the temporary injunction order

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-

Copy of letter No 122/47 dated 11th July 1947 from the Engineer, City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore City to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

---

Subject. Acquisition of a portion of property No 60 Subedar Chattram Road, II Division, Bangalore City, for widening the foot-path

---

With reference to your D O No L1 C 1162/46 dated 26th May 1947 addressed to Mr C N Narasinga Rao, Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, forwarding a copy of letter No L 16312 dated 22nd May 1947 received from the Secretary, Revenue Department regarding the above subject and calling for a report from me, I have the honour to report as follows

From the Records available in the Municipal Office it is seen that on 19-1-1940, the City Improvement Committee after a careful consideration of the new alignment plan of Subedar Chattram Road prepared by Mr D Govindappa, the then Municipal Engineer resolved that the available marginal land after reserving for the Foot-path may be sold to the respective owners at Rs 20/- per sq yard This resolution was unanimously approved after full discussion by the Municipal Council on 8-2-1940 No conditions were imposed on the vendees by this resolution I may at the outset point out that this was long before I became the Municipal Engineer which was only during 1944

In coming to this conclusion, the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council must have been guided by the fact that the road margin at that place was too irregular in width ranging from 12 feet to 34 feet and that it was both unnecessary and undesirable to keep such a wide margin which was not in line with the Northern and Southern ends Leaving 12½ feet uniformly for the foot-path, the rest of the area was to be given to all the abutting owners and not only to Mr Krishna Das

The concerned parties were intimated of this resolution and repeated reminders sent to them by the Revenue Branch to pay the amount and take possession of the marginal land

After some correspondence, Mr Krishna Das paid the sale amount

on 11th June 1945 and the Municipal Commissioner obtained a stamped agreement from him on 21-12-45 which states among other things -

(1) that the party of the second part has already paid the sum of Rs 2,752/- being the full value of the marginal land measuring 137 60 sq yards to the party of the 1st part, that the party of the 1st part should hand over possession of the said land to the party of the 2nd part.

(2) that the party of the 2nd part should obtain a proper license from the party of the 1st part and construct or extend his building as per license sanctioned by the Municipality.

(3) that if there be a breach of the above conditions, that the party of the 1st part should be entitled to resume the possession of the said land

Having taken possession, the party, Mr Krishna Das applied for a license to put up the building in question on 21-9-1946

Under these circumstances, acting under the powers delegated to me by the Municipal Commissioner, I granted the license as per Municipal Act and Building Bye-laws and consistently with the resolutions of the City Improvement Committee and of the Municipal Council and agreement referred to above I may add that the grant of license could not be withheld since the sale was not conditional in any manner and the rejection of the application would not be legal nor had I any option at all in the matter

It can also be seen that the building, since it is a solitary one, appears to be a projection as other adjoining owners have not yet paid the amount and put up construction If this had also been done and other buildings had also come up all along according to the new alignment leaving 12½ feet margin, then this building would not have looked ugly or even prominent

From the above, it can been seen that I have strictly

discharged my duties in this regard

Connected records accompany A copy of the alignment plan as prepared by the then Municipal Engineer Mr Govindappa and approved by the Municipal Council and City Improvement Committee and a copy of the Agreement entered between the Municipal Commissioner and Mr Krishna Das are herewith enclosed for ready reference

---

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner.

WP

C No
Ref on Pet No } 19 —19
App No
Dis No
Enclosure Dated
Approved on
Copied on by
Examined on by
Signed on Despatched on
Entered in—
Current } Register on
Petition }
Appeal } By
Periodical
Reminded on

WD 0639—GPB—75 000—12-6-45

Confidential
DRAFT L 9839
27/9/47

To

The Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore City

Docket Abstract

Acquisition of a portion of the property — No. 60 — Lovedale Cheltham Road — II Division Bangalore City — for widening the Footpath

Sir,

With reference to your letter No Lic 1162 KB dated 24-7-47 on the above subject, I am directed to state that your remarks on the detailed report No 122/47 dated 11-7-47 furnished by the Municipal Engineer may be kindly furnished, very early

I have the

Yours

24/9/47

Revenue Secy

DRAFT

Docket Abstract

To

The

Court No

Ref on Pet No } 19 —19

App No }

Dis N

Enclosure Dated

Approved on

Copied on by

Examined on by

Signed on Despatched on

Entered in —

Court } Register on

Petition }

Appeal } By

Periodical

Remanded on

W D 0639—GPB—75 000—1 -6-45

Sir,

2080 Conf

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY 17-10-47

Confidential
No L1 C 1162/46

Encl

FROM

MUNICIPAL OFFICES,
BANGALORE CITY

Dated 11th Oct. 1947

SAFI DARASHAH, Esq, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY



To
Syed Abdul Aleem, Esq, B A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject - Acquisition of a portion of the property No 60, Subedarchatram Road, II Division, Bangalore City for widening the footpath

---

With reference to your letter No L 9839/confidential dated 27-9-1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that I agree with the report of Mr R Madhavan the then Municipal Engineer in this behalf with the remark that having consideration to the fact that the proposed construction would have appeared a clear projection with reference to the existing buildings, he should have brought the matter to my notice before granting building license to the owner of the property Mr Krishna Das

Had it come to my notice in the preliminary stage, granting of license to the building in question could have been avoided

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-

M

To L. 11557/ rel 23-46-117 Confidential

The Municipal Commr 5/12/47

Bangalore City

C/o Finance Dept (President)

Subj - Acquisition of a portion of the property No 60, Subedar Chattram Road - II Division - Bangalore City for widening the Footpath

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter M LIC 1162-46 dated 24-7-47 - on the above subject, I am directed to state that, as the Licensing Officer, the Municipal Engineer, Bangalore City should have noticed the right projection of the building in Question into the margin of the road as compared with the other buildings in existence on this side of this important road and on the opposite side of it and

he should have sought the orders of the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City before acting granting the license. The records disclose that the Municipal Engineer was responsible partly, if not entirely for this ~~circumstances~~ omission

~~In these circumstances~~, Government hope that such things will not be allowed to occur in future

I have the

honour Sir

Your obedient servant

11/12/47

Revenue Secy

Rs
29-11-47

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No L1 C 1162/46

Encl

MUNICIPAL OFFICES,
BANGALORE CITY

Dated 16th March

FROM

SAFI DARASHAH, Esq, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY

5545/me

To

Syed Abdul Aleem, Esq, B A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject - Acquisition of a portion of the property No 60 Subedar Chatram Road, III Division, Bangalore City for videning the footpath

With reference to your reminder letter No L 13787 dated 23-2-1948 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Civil suit filed by the party Mr P Krishna Das against this Municipality praying for temporary injunction etc, has not been decided yet by the District Court, Bangalore

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner

hsr/-